JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

14

4273

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information and herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State I 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State I 2 U.S. Government Defendant A Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sileet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT FAGE O	r msrc	NUVI.)			
(CEXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINITE CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDENSATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (if im Name, Address, and Telephone Number) James A. Wescoe, Esq., Webber Gallagher 215-972-7900 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (procham "X" in One Box Only) 11 U.S. Government 12 1 U.S. Government 13 5 Federal Determine (Isl) Government Not a Party) 14 U.S. Government 15 1 Federal Determine (Isl) Government Not a Party) 15 Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) (Involved Control of American Companies in Analysis See Landship) 15 Moster Act 16 Negocine Instrument 16 Second Companies In Analysis See Landship 15 Recovery Offerendent 25 Person Round 16 Negocine Instrument 16 Second Companies In Analysis See Landship 16 Second Companies In Analysis See Landship 17 Second Companies In Analysis See Landship 18 Recovery Offerendent 26 Second Companies In Analysis See Landship 19 Second							/a Door-to-Door Se	ervices
2 U.S. Government Street Stee 1300, Philadelphia, PA 19103	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN ONDEN OF LA	U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	ONLY)
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff O 2 U.S. Government Defendant O 3 Potental Question (U.G. Government Not a Parhy) O 4 Diversity Defendant O 4 Diversity O 5 Defendant O 5 Defendant O 6 Defendant O 7 Diversity O 8 Defendant O 9 Defendant O 1 Diversity O 1 O 2 Diversity O 3 Diversi	2000 Market Street, Ste.		A 19103					
Plaintiff Colorement Plaintiff Plai	II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RIN	CIPAL PARTIES	
Defendant Children Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a		l / -	Not a Party)		P		1 Incorporated or Pr	incipal Place
TV. NATURE OF SUIT (**Place on "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT CON			ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	1 2		
IJO Numbers PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL PROPERTY 0.4 p.						3	3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6
19 19 Namine 19 Nami								
130 Marine								
## Enforcement of Judgment Slander Slander	☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/		of Property 21 USC 881	□ 42	3 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking
1.53 Recovery of Overpayment of Overpayment of Securities Benefits 1.64 Stockholders' Suits 1.65	& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	L		☐ 82 ☐ 83 ☐ 84	0 Copyrights 0 Patent 0 Trademark	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit
0 95 Contract Product Liability 0 360 Other Personal 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	RTY 🗇 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management	□ 86 □ 86	1 HIA (1395ff) 2 Black Lung (923) 3 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
CIVIL RIGHTS	 195 Contract Product Liability 	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	1 75	0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act			☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
290 All Other Real Property 345 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 346 Amer. w/Disabilities 340 Mandamus & Other 550 Crit Rights 340 Mandamus & Other 0	☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	NS 0 79	1 Employee Retirement	□ 87	0 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 1 IRS—Third Party	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 49 U.S.C. 14706(b)		☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	1		
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 49 U.S.C. 14706(b) Brief description of cause: Loss of Cargo in Interstate Transit VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 24,121.40 UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 24, 121.40 DOCKET NUMBER	▼ 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3			pened Anothe	er Dist		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 24,121.40 UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 24,121.40 UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DOCKET NUMBER OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD To Per Signature of ATTORNEY OF RECORD	VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of ca	b) iuse:	re filing (1			less diversity).	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY DATE 1-16-14 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER 11 6 2014 DOCKET NUMBER 11 16 2014 MAA	_	☐ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION					
T-16-14 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD MA	VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			D	OCKET NUMBER	L 162014
\mathcal{L}	7-16-14		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	DF RECORD			
		MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE M

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.		
Address of Plaintiff: 4080 Jenkins Road, Chattanooga, TN 37421		
Address of Defendant: 2030 River Reach Drive, #139, Naples, FL 34104		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Bucks County, PA		
(Use Reverse Side For A	Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□ NotX	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ NotX	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Judge	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes□ No□ Suit pending or within one year previously terminated	
action in this court?		
2. Possed to the state of the s	Yes No.	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier reterminated action in this court?	Yes No 🔼	
terminated action in this court:	165- 1.6-	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?	
	Yes□ No⊠	
CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:	
1. \(\tilde{\Bigsi}\) Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts	
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury	
3. Lones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation	
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury	
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Dother Personal Injury (Please specify)	
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability	
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos	
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)	
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)		
	nem 4 8 9041)
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate C	Category)	
I,, counsel of record do hereby certi	· ·	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;		
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
DATE:		
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court	
except as noted above.		
DATE: 7/16/14 \ \ \land \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	82923	
CIV. 609 (5/2012) Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		



U.S. Xpress, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSY LVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Phoenix Freight, Inc. d'b/a Door-to-Door Services NO. In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1.03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff grading said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned. SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS: (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () V.S. Xpress, Inc. Attorney for Plaintiff jwescoe@wglaw.com Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address	v.		: :	14 4	273
plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned. SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS: (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 52.2. (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () 7-16-14 Date Attorney for Plaintiff jwescoe@wglaw.com Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address	Phoenix Freight, Inc. d/b/a Door-t	o-Door Services	:	NO.	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () 7-16-14 Date Attorney-at-law 215-972-7900 Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address	plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	se Management Te a copy on all defevent that a defer thall, with its first ties, a Case Man	Frack Designation Form fendants. (See § 1:03 of to adant does not agree with tappearance, submit to agement Track Designate	in all civil cases at the he plan set forth on the th the plaintiff regard the clerk of court and	e time of e reverse ing said serve on
(b) Social Security — Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration — Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 52.2. (x) (d) Asbestos — Cases involving claims for personal injury or property exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management — Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.) (f) Standard Management — Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () 7-16-14 Date 215-972-7900 Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address	SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CA	ASE MANAGEMENT	TRACKS:	
and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 57.2. (x) (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () 7-1&14 Date 215-972-7900 Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address	(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	prought under 28	U.S.C. § 2241 through	§ 2255.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () 7-16-14 Date Attorney-at-law 215-972-7900 Attorney-for Plaintiff jwescoe@wglaw.com FAX Number E-Mail Address				cretary of Health	
exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () 7-16-14 Date 215-972-7900 Telephone	(c) Arbitration - Cases requi	ired to be designa	ated for arbitration under	Local Civil Rule 5%.	2. (x)
commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. () 7-16-14 Date Attorney-at-law 215-972-7900 215-564-7699 Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address		damage from			
7-16-14 Date 215-972-7900 Telephone V.S. Xpress, Inc. Attorney for Plaintiff jwescoe@wglaw.com E-Mail Address	commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s	complex and tha	t need special or intense	management by	()
Date Attorney-at-law 215-972-7900 215-564-7699 Telephone Attorney for Plaintiff jwescoe@wglaw.com E-Mail Address	(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do no	t fall into any one of the	other tracks.	()
215-972-7900 215-564-7699 jwescoe@wglaw.com Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address		Janlah			
Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address	Date	Attorney-a	t-law		
	215-972-7900	215-564-7699		jwescoe@wglaw.com	
(Civ. 660) 10/02	Telephone	FAX Num	ber	E-Mail Address	
	(Civ. 660) 10/02			JUL 162	014

WEBER GALLAGHER SIMPSON STAPLETON FIRES & NEWBY LLP By: James A. Wescoe, Esquire 2000 Market Street, Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 972-7900 Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

U.S. XPRESS ENTERPRISES, INC.	:		
4080 Jenkins Road	:		
Chattanooga, TN 37421,	:	CIVIL ACTION	
	:		
Plaintiff	:		14 4273
	:		14 42.0
VS.	:		
	:		
PHOENIX FREIGHT, INC.	:		
d/b/a Door-to-Door Services	:		
2030 River Reach Drive #139			
Naples, FL 34104,			
Defendant			
PLAINTIFF'S DISCLOSURE STAT	EMI	ENT FORM PURSU	ANT TO F.R.C.P. 7.1
Please check one box:			
X The nongovernmental corporate part in the above listed civil action does a corporation that owns 10% or more of	not h	ave any parent corpo	
The nengavernmental cornerate next	·		
In the above listed civil action has the corporation(s) that owns 10% or more	e fol		ation(s) and publicly held
July 16, 2014		/s/ James A. W	Tascoa
Date			gnature
Date		51	Enataro
Couns	el fo	r: Plaintiff, U.S. X	oress Enterprises, Inc.

Case 21 Cv-04273-NS Document 1 Filed 07/16/14 Page 5 of 12

WEBER GALLAGHER SIMPSON STAPLETON FIRES & NEWBY LLP By: James A. Wescoe, Esquire 2000 Market Street, Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 972-7900 Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

U.S. XPRESS, INC.
4080 Jenkins Road
Chattanooga, TN 37421,

Plaintiff

vs.

PHOENIX FREIGHT, INC.
d/b/a Door-to-Door Services
2030 River Reach Drive #139
Naples, FL 34104,

Defendant

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, U.S. Xpress, Inc. by and through undersigned counsel, by way of Complaint against the Defendant says as follows:

THE PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff U.S. Xpress, Inc. was and still is a business entity authorized to transact business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. U.S. Xpress, Inc. has a principal place of business at 4080 Jenkins Road, Chattanooga, TN 37421.

- 2. Defendant, Phoenix Freight, Inc. d/b/a Door-to-Door Services, (hereinafter, "Phoenix Freight"), was and still is, upon information and belief, a corporation located at 10461 NW 26th Street, Doral, Florida 33172 and with a mailing address at 2030 River Reach Drive # 139, Naples, FL 34104.
- 3. At all times relevant, defendant Phoenix Freight regularly conducted business in the County of Bucks and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, including, but not limited to, the operation of multiple tractor trailers delivering, receiving and/or otherwise transporting freight and goods within, into, and through Bucks County and in interstate transportation as a common carrier and was involved in the transportation of goods by ground for hire, and /or the solicitation through advertisement of the citizens of Bucks County and Pennsylvania all for pecuniary gain.
- 4. At all times relevant hereto, defendant Phoenix Freight leased, owned, controlled, and by and through their agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees, operated a motor vehicle involved in the loss hereinafter described.
- 5. At all times relevant hereto, plaintiff U.S. Xpress, Inc. (hereinafter "U.S. Xpress"), was authorized under federal law to conduct business as an interstate motor carrier and as an interstate transportation property broker.
- 6. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. 1331 and 49 U.S.C. 14706(d) because defendant Phoenix Freight is a receiving and/or delivering carrier and/or the carrier over whose line or route the loss of goods occurred in interstate transport and/or does business and/or operates trucks and routes throughout the 48 contiguous United States including the states of Pennsylvania and Delaware and the area comprising the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 7. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2) in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to plaintiff's claim occurred in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, which is within this judicial district.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- 8. At all times relevant hereto, plaintiff U.S. Xpress was a party to a contract with non-party Proctor & Gamble Distributing, LLC (hereinafter "P&G"), wherein U.S. Xpress agreed to provide transportation services to P&G, including but not limited to arranging with motor carriers to transport P&G's goods.
- 9. On or about May 30, 2012, U.S. Xpress, pursuant to its contract with P&G, Enterprises, Inc. brokered a shipment of P&G goods to defendant Phoenix Freight, for delivery from the Dover, Delaware to the P&G distribution center in Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania.
- 10. The P&G load was tendered to defendant Phoenix Freight on May 30, 2012 in good order and condition, and proper count.
- 11. Defendant Phoenix Freight, as the motor carrier in possession of the goods, was solely and strictly liable for the goods from the time of tender to the time of delivery.
- 12. Defendant Phoenix Freight failed to deliver the goods to the P&G distribution center in Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania.
- 13. The subject load was stolen and lost while in the possession, custody and control of defendant Phoenix Freight on or about or between May 31, 2012 and June 1, 2012.
- 14. Upon information and belief, the load was stolen while in the custody, possession and control of defendant Phoenix Freight from a location in Bucks County, at 4595 New Falls Road, Levittown, Pennsylvania 19056.
- 15. At the aforesaid place and time, the P&G load at issue consisted of 3,503 boxes of disposable diapers packed onto forty shrink-wrapped pallets.
- 16. On or about June 5, 2012, the stolen trailer was spotted and recovered in a parking lot in West Philadelphia, located near the corner of 43rd Street and Lancaster Avenue. The trailer contained seven full pallets of product and two pallets with two partially stacked pallets.

- 17. P&G submitted a Notice of Claim to U.S. Xpress, wherein it demanded payment of \$24,121.41 from U.S. Xpress for the loss of goods, less salvage.
- 18. As a result of the aforementioned theft of P&G's product, U.S. Xpress paid P&G \$24,121.40.
- 19. Accordingly, the total amount of damages Plaintiff U.S. Xpress is seeking to recover is \$24,121.40.
- 20. On October 1, 2012, Plaintiff sent a subrogation request to defendant Phoenix Freight for the total amount paid to P&G of \$24,121.40.
 - 21. Defendant Phoenix Freight did not respond to the October 1, 2012 demand letter.
- 22. On November 15, 2012, Plaintiff sent a second subrogation request to defendant Phoenix Freight.
 - 23. Phoenix Freight did not respond to Plaintiff's second request for payment.
- 24. On December 14, 2012, Plaintiff sent a third and final subrogation request to defendant Phoenix Freight.
- 25. Defendant Phoenix Freight has failed to respond to Plaintiff's demands for reimbursement despite the fact that defendant is solely liable to Plaintiff for the loss described herein.
- 26. The aforementioned damages sustained by, and paid by, U.S. Xpress were directly and proximately caused by the negligence, carelessness, and breach of contract by defendant Phoenix Freight as further and more fully described below.

COUNT I: RELIEF PURSUANT TO 49 U.S.C. 14706(b)

27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs one (1) through twenty-six (26), inclusive, as though fully set forth herein at length.

- 28. Plaintiff engaged the services of defendant to transport certain P&G goods as set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 29. The goods at issue were tendered to defendant in good order and condition and proper count.
- 30. The goods were stolen while in the care, custody, possession and control of defendant while en route from Delaware to Pennsylvania.
 - 31. The goods at issue, therefore, were lost and were not delivered to Pennsylvania.
- 32. Plaintiff was required to pay the owner of the goods \$24,121.40. Thus, plaintiff has sustained damages in the amount of \$24,121.40 as a direct result of defendant's failure to deliver the subject goods.
- 33. Defendant is the carrier responsible for loss the carrier over whose line or route the loss occurred within the meaning of 49 U.S.C. 14706(b).
- 34. As such, defendant is liable for the damages caused in an action brought pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 14706(b).
- 35. Plaintiff has paid \$24,121.40 to the shipper (P&G) for the loss caused by defendant. Therefore, defendant is liable to plaintiff for the same amount as the carrier over whose route or line the loss occurred.
 - 36. Defendant has not, despite repeated requests, paid plaintiff the damages owed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, U.S. Xpress, Inc., demands judgment against defendant,
Phoenix Freight in the amount of \$24,121.40, together with costs, interest, attorney's fees and such other and further relief as the court deems appropriate.

COUNT II: BREACH OF CONTRACT

37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs one (1) through thirty-six (36), inclusive, as though fully set forth herein at length.

- 38. Defendant Phoenix Freight entered into an Agreement with U.S. Xpress on or about May 30, 2012, to ship the aforesaid trailer of P&G products on May 31, 2012.
- 39. Pursuant to the aforesaid Agreement/ Bill of Lading, U.S. Xpress "received" 3,503 P&G products for shipment, which were then brokered to defendant to ship on or about May 30, 2012.
- 40. The parties agreed that defendant would promptly ship the property via defendant's tractor PT1293 and trailer PT1553 in the same condition as it was received by defendant.
- 41. The parties further agreed that defendant would pay for any damage to the property, while in its possession or control caused by theft, misuse, abuse, neglect, intentional acts or failure to follow the instructions provided for proper care of the P&G products.
- 42. The property shipment brokered to defendant was stolen while in the possession or control of defendant, resulting in property loss as described above and herein.
 - 43. As a result of the theft, U.S. Xpress paid P&G \$24,121 40.
- 44. U.S. Xpress seeks payment of the monies paid to P&G from defendant, who has thus far refused to pay.
- 45. Defendant breached the aforesaid Agreement with U.S. Xpress by failing to pay for the aforesaid damages incurred by U.S. Xpress which are direct resulting from defendant's failure to deliver the goods.
- 46. As a result of defendant's breach, Plaintiff is entitled to recover the full amount of damages caused by the theft of P&G product while in the custody or control of defendant, in addition to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, U.S. Xpress, Inc., demands judgment against defendant,
Phoenix Freight in the amount of \$24,121.40, together with costs, interest, attorney's fees and such other and further relief as the court deems appropriate.

COUNT III: NEGLIGENCE

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs one (1) through forty-six (46), inclusive, as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 48. The aforementioned damages were the direct and proximate result of the negligent, careless and/or reckless conduct of defendant Phoenix Freight as more specifically described below:
 - (a) Negligent, careless, and/or reckless hiring of driver Michael Williams;
 - (b) Negligent, careless, and/or reckless training of employees and drivers;
 - (c) Negligent, careless, and/or reckless oversight of employees and drivers;
 - (d) Failure to respond and assist in locating and recovering stolen P&G goods;
 - (e) Failure to respond to any subrogation requests;
 - (d) In being otherwise negligent under the circumstances.
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid misuse, abuse, negligent, careless and/or reckless conduct of defendant, plaintiff sustained damages in the amount of \$24,121.40.
- 50. Defendant is liable to plaintiff for the damages proximately caused by its negligence, carelessness and recklessness.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, U.S. Xpress, Inc. demands judgment against Defendant, Phoenix Freight in the amount of \$24,121.40 together with costs, interest, attorney's fees and such other and further relief as the court deems appropriate.

/s/ James A. Wescoe
James A. Wescoe, Esquire
WEBER GALLAGHER SIMPSON STAPLETON
FIRES & NEWBY LLP
2000 Market Street, Suite
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Our File 0076411
267.765.4123 (phone)
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: July 16, 2014